#### DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 429 358 EA 029 769

AUTHOR Becker, David

TITLE Constitutional Amendment A: Prohibit Property Taxes for

School Funding. Issue Memorandum 98-13.

INSTITUTION South Dakota Legislative Research Council, Pierre.

PUB DATE 1999-03-00

NOTE 6p.

PUB TYPE Reports - Descriptive (141) EDRS PRICE MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.

DESCRIPTORS \*Educational Finance; Elementary Secondary Education;

\*Finance Reform; Financial Policy; \*State Legislation; \*Tax

Allocation; \*Taxes

IDENTIFIERS Constitutional Amendments; \*South Dakota

#### ABSTRACT

This issue memorandum describes Constitutional Amendment A, an initiative in South Dakota that, if approved, would prohibit taxation of real property for school purposes. The report provides background information on Constitutional Amendment A, which reads as follows: "The legislature shall make such provision by general taxation as with the income from the permanent school fund shall secure a thorough and efficient system of common schools throughout the state. Real property shall not be subject to taxation for school purposes." Supporters began collecting signatures in 1995 and turned in the signed petitions in 1996, in time for the 1998 election. The property tax is the main source of revenue for local units of government in South Dakota and the issue of replacement revenue will become an important issue if the amendment is passed. The sales and use tax is the only current state-revenue source that raises an amount of money equivalent to the amount of property taxed currently levied by schools. Proponents of the amendment believe property taxes are too high and there is too much government waste. Opponents contend that education will be hurt by the amendment because there is no replacement revenue proposed. How the amendment will affect existing bonds is discussed. (RJM)

Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

from the original document.





# South Dakota Legislative Research Council

## Issue Memorandum 98-13

# CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT A: PROHIBIT PROPERTY TAXES FOR SCHOOL FUNDING

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
Office of Educational Research and Improvement
EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION
CENTER (ERIC)

- This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originating it.
- Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality.
- Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official OERI position or policy.

**BESTCOPY AVAILABLE** 

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

C.Cholik

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

## South Dakota Legislative Research Council

## Issue Memorandum 98-13

## **CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT A:** PROHIBIT PROPERTY TAXES FOR SCHOOL FUNDING

#### Introduction

Debates and votes on property tax issues are not something new to South Dakotans. During the 1998 general election, South Dakota voters will once again have an opportunity to express their opinion about property taxes. Constitutional Amendment A would prohibit taxation of real property for school purposes. This issue memorandum provides background information on Constitutional Amendment A, considers the fiscal impact of Constitutional Amendment A, explains some arguments by proponents and opponents of the issue, and discusses two sections of law related to the issue of school bond obligation.

#### Background

The supporters of Constitutional Amendment A filed the full text of their petition with the South Dakota Secretary of State on April 3, 1995, and began gathering signatures. According to §2-1-2.1 of South Dakota Codified Laws, in order to place a constitutional amendment on the ballot for the next general election (November 5, 1996, in this instance), organizers would have had to file the signed petitions at least one year before the 1996 general election or by November 5, 1995. When not enough signatures were gathered in time to place the amendment on the 1996 general election ballot, organizers continued to collect

signatures in order to place the amendment on the ballot for the 1998 general election. State law permits signatures for initiative petitions to be collected for one year following the filing of the full text (§2-1-6.2). The organizers of Constitutional Amendment A turned in the signed petitions to the Secretary of State on April 1, 1996.

Constitutional Amendment A prohibits taxation of real property for school purposes. The people of South Dakota initiated Constitutional Amendment A and the amendment will be on the ballot for the 1998 general election held November 3, 1998, unless withdrawn by the sponsors. If South Dakota voters approve Constitutional Amendment A, Article VIII, Section 15 of the South Dakota Constitution would read as follows:

> The legislature shall make such provision by general taxation as with the income from the permanent school fund shall secure a thorough and efficient system of common schools throughout the state. Real property shall not be subject to taxation for school purposes.

For purposes of comparison, Article VIII, Section 15 of the South Dakota Constitution currently reads as follows:

The Legislature shall make such provision by general taxation and by authorizing the school corporations to levy such additional taxes as with the income from the permanent school fund shall secure a thorough and efficient system of common schools throughout the state. The Legislature is empowered to classify properties within school districts for

purposes of school taxation, and may constitute agricultural property a separate class. Taxes shall be uniform on all property in the same class.

#### Fiscal Impact

The property tax is the main source of revenue for local units of government (e.g., city, county, school, and township). Table 1 shows the taxes levied by local governments.

Table 1. Taxes Levied by Local Governments - 1997

Local Unit of Government	Amount Taxed	Percent of Total
County	\$132,306,002	23.94%
Municipalities	\$63,030,246	11.41%
Schools	\$341,703,332	61.84%
Townships	\$8,935,430	1.62%
Special Assessments	\$6,597,665	1.19%
Total	\$552,572,675	100.00%

Source: South Dakota Department of Revenue - 1997 Annual Report

If South Dakota voters approve
Constitutional Amendment A, real property
would no longer be subject to taxation for
school purposes. One can see from the
above table that in 1997 property taxes
levied by schools accounted for
\$341,703,332 or approximately sixty-two
percent of the total property taxes levied.

The issue of replacement revenue will certainly be debated by proponents and opponents of Constitutional Amendment A. The following paragraph illustrates two potential sources of revenue (i.e., the sales and use tax and a state personal income tax) that could replace the amount of money involved if voters approve Constitutional Amendment A. While there are certainly many other sources of revenue, the sales and use tax is the only current state revenue source that raises an amount of money

equivalent to the amount of property taxes currently levied by schools. The personal income tax would be another revenue source capable of raising the necessary replacement funds.

For purposes of comparison, the current state sales and use tax of four percent generates approximately \$400,000,000. If the voters approve Constitutional Amendment A and if the sales and use tax were deemed the appropriate mechanism for replacement revenue, an additional three and one-half percent sales and use tax on all goods and services currently taxed would be needed to replace the same amount of revenue currently levied by schools under the property tax. If a state personal income tax were deemed the appropriate mechanism for replacement revenue, a tax of approximately three percent on adjusted



March 30, 1999

gross income would be needed to replace the revenue currently levied by schools under the property tax. The personal income tax assumes no deductions and only personal income (not corporate income) would be taxed.

Obviously, there are a number of ways to structure a package of replacement revenue, too many to cover in this issue memorandum. The previous paragraph used only two examples to show the level of taxation that it would take for each revenue source to individually replace the property taxes currently levied by schools.

#### Arguments

The proponents of Constitutional Amendment A believe property taxes are too high and there is too much government waste. The proponents view recent efforts by the Governor and the Legislature to reduce property taxes as inadequate; therefore, Constitutional Amendment A is needed. The proponents also believe that since education is guaranteed by the South Dakota Constitution, there will be funds available for education.

The opponents believe that education will be hurt because there is no replacement revenue proposed in Constitutional Amendment A. The opponents also believe that Constitutional Amendment A is just a way to get a state income tax in South Dakota. Finally, the opponents would like the Governor and Legislature to continue working on the property tax issue.

#### The School Bond Issue

If voters approve Constitutional Amendment A, what will happen to the current school bond obligations that are financed with property tax revenue? It appears that the South Dakota Constitution may address this issue in Article XIII, Section 5. That section of the South Dakota Constitution reads as follows:

Article XIII, Section 5. Any city, county, town, school district or any other subdivision incurring indebtedness shall, at or before the time of so doing, provide for the collection of an annual tax sufficient to pay the interest and also the principal thereof when due, and all laws or ordinances providing for the payment of the interest or principal of any debt shall be irrepealable until such debt be paid.

A section of South Dakota Codified Laws has some similar language to the above section of the South Dakota Constitution. Section 13-16-10 reads as follows:

§ 13-16-10. At or before the issuing of any bonds the school board shall provide for the collection of an annual tax sufficient to pay the interest and principal thereof when due, for the entire term of years for which said bonds are to run, by proper resolution, copy of which shall be filed with the county auditor. All such levies when legally made shall be irrepealable until such debt shall be paid or sufficient moneys have been accumulated to pay such debt, and shall be exclusive of the maximum levy provided for other school district funds.

It appears that these bonds would need to be paid before repealing any law related to financing the bonds. If the voters approve Constitutional Amendment A, certain school districts may continue to levy property taxes in order to pay bond obligations.

#### Summary

Constitutional Amendment A will be on the ballot for the 1998 general election. If approved by the voters, real property will no longer be subject to taxation for school purposes. The most recent property tax statistics reveal that school levies account for \$341,703,332, or approximately sixty-

two percent of all property tax dollars. An additional sales and use tax of three and one-half percent or a personal income tax of approximately three percent of adjusted gross income would raise nearly the same amount of revenue that schools levied in property taxes during 1997. If voters approve Constitutional Amendment A, certain school districts may continue to levy property taxes in order to pay bond obligations.

This issue memorandum was written by David Becker, Senior Fiscal Analyst for the Legislative Research Council. It is designed to supply background information on the subject and is not a policy statement made by the Legislative Research Council.



Page 4 March 30, 1999



#### U.S. Department of Education

Office of Educational Research and Improvement (OERI)
National Library of Education (NLE)
Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC)



## REPRODUCTION RELEASE

(Specific Document)			
I. DOCUMENT IDENTIFICATION	N:		
Title: SSUC Me	morandum 98-1		
Author(s):			
Corporate Source:		Publication Date:	
II. REPRODUCTION RELEASE	<u> </u>		
monthly abstract journal of the ERIC system, Re and electronic media, and sold through the ER reproduction release is granted, one of the follow	e timely and significant materials of interest to the educesources in Education (RIE), are usually made available Document Reproduction Service (EDRS). Credit ving notices is affixed to the document.  eminate the identified document, please CHECK ONE of the content of the con	le to users in microfiche, reproduced paper copy is given to the source of each document, and,	
The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 1 documents	The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 2A documents	The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 2B documents	
PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY	PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN MICROFICHE, AND IN ELECTRONIC MEDIA FOR ERIC COLLECTION SUBSCRIBERS ONLY, HAS BEEN GRANTED BY	PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN MICROFICHE ONLY HAS BEEN GRANTED BY	
semple	Semple	Sample	
TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)	TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)	TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)	
1	2A	23	
Level 1	Level 2A ↑	Level 2B ↑	
Check here for Level 1 release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche or other ERIC archival media (e.g., electronic) and paper copy.	Check here for Level 2A release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche and in electronic media for ERIC archival collection subscribers only	Check here for Level 2B release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche only	
	ments will be processed as indicated provided reproduction quality pe reproduce is granted, but no box is checked, documents will be proce		
as indicated above. Reproduction fro contractors requires permission from the	purces Information Center (ERIC) nonexclusive permiss om the ERIC microfiche or electronic media by perso the copyright holder. Exception is made for non-profit re- tors in response to discrete inquiries.	ons other than ERIC employees and its system	

Sign here,→ nlease ERIC Lace V. Chalife

Legislative
Clove Cholik Librarian

Telephone:
(605) 713-3251 FAX: (605) 713-4576

E-Mail Address:

Capital Ave.

Cover)

## III. DOCUMENT AVAILABILITY INFORMATION (FROM NON-ERIC SOURCE):

If permission to reproduce is not granted to ERIC, *or*, if you wish ERIC to cite the availability of the document from another source, please provide the following information regarding the availability of the document. (ERIC will not announce a document unless it is publicly available, and a dependable source can be specified. Contributors should also be aware that ERIC selection criteria are significantly more stringent for documents that cannot be made available through EDRS.)

Address:
Price:
IV. REFERRAL OF ERIC TO COPYRIGHT/REPRODUCTION RIGHTS HOLDER:
If the right to grant this reproduction release is held by someone other than the addressee, please provide the appropriate name and address:
Name:
Address:
V. WHERE TO SEND THIS FORM:
Send this form to the following ERIC Clearinghouse:
·

However, if solicited by the ERIC Facility, or if making an unsolicited contribution to ERIC, return this form (and the document being contributed) to:

**ERIC Processing and Reference Facility** 

1100 West Street, 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor Laurel, Maryland 20707-3598

Telephone: 301-497-4080
Toll Free: 800-799-3742
FAX: 301-953-0263
e-mail: ericfac@inet.ed.gov
WWW: http://ericfac.piccard.csc.com

RC 088 (Rev. 9/97)
PREVIOUS VERSIONS OF THIS FORM ARE OBSOLETE.

Publisher/Distributor:.